PHILIPPINE CONVENTION & VISITORS CORPORATION PERFORMANCE RATING REPORT

SUPERVISORY AND ABOVE POSITIONS

MOS./YRS.	a delywati dita diskipla disembiana gampiana	HOW LONG UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION	TO	diciental of the equipment is a seriful	FROM	RATING PERIOD: FROM
			DIVISION	Affilian to the sale principal distriction of the sales	41. Cita pa deliminado septientos en que i que ma	SECTION
The state of the s	OFFICE	volvessiak gescondistrillaria - a-vonsensua distributual dalla conseluzione del propositione del rasso de consensual del rasso de consensual del rasso de	POSITION	Andreas of the Contract of the	STANDING AND ANALYSIS PROPERTY.	NAME
	ħ		٦			

INSTRUCTIONS TO RATERS:

percentage as indicated in nos. I to 5 per criteria. To obtain the Performance Rating, multiply the 1/6 vs. the determination. Base your rating on facts and not on mere impressions. Indicate the performance in exact Nate the employee's performance during the above period. Performance and only performance should be the weight (w). Example: Weight (w) of such criteria Rating of one (1) criteria Performance Rating (PR) = 9% (90 x .10) = 9.0) %86 ==

Rating Code:

- 5 Excellent rarely equaled
- Very Good better performance than normally expected
- G00d normally expected performance, consistently meets requirements of position
- 2 Average performance normally expected in this position
 1 Below Average clear evidence of inadequate performance

PERFORMANCE RATING

SUPERVISORY & ABOVE POSITIONS

									TO THE REST OF THE PROPERTY OF
FACTOR	james.		2	w	4	Un	W/Rate	Rating	REMARKS
RESULTS APPRAISAL	0 - 60%		61-70%	71-80%	6 81-90%	over 90	(W)		
1. Quality - To what extent has the quality of work performed by his unit measured up to requirements? (10.0%)	··· ···	<u> </u>					(0.10)		
2. Quantity - How successfully has his unit met requirements with respect to amount and timeliness of work performed? (10.0%)							(0.10)		
3. Cost - What is his record with respect to cost control and to operating within budget? Is he conscious of the need for cost reductions? (10.0%)		<u> </u>					(0.10)		
4. Management of Resources - How well has he maintained and improved facility and manpower resources assigned to him? (10.0%)	···) :					(0.10)		
METHODS APPRAISAL		*							
Development of Subordinates - How effective has he been in selecting personnel to fit job requirements, developing skills and potentials and planning to provide for replacements? (10.0%)		· •					(0.10)		
2. Direction of Work Force - How effective has he been in using people to achieve his unit's objective. How effective has he been in maintaining discipline, high work standards and morale? How effectively has he defined and delegated responsibilities and authority?									
	··	<u> </u>		((0.10)	(

8. Safety - How effective has he been in making his area as safe and free from accidents as possible? What has been his accident and housekeeping record? (4.0%)	7. Administration - How effective has he been in handling general administrative matters, maintaining necessary files, submitting reports, requisitions, etc., promptly and accurately? (4.0%)	6. Problem Solving - How effective has he been in identifying problems, recognizing and correctly evaluating pertinent factors and arriving at a timely and logical course of action? (7.0%)	5. Planning - How effective has he been in establishing plans necessary for the operation of his unit; e.g., setting objectives, schedules, work loads, etc. (5.0%)	4. Communication - How effective has he been in keeping subordinates, supervisor and others informed of pertinent matters? How effectively does he communicate in speech and writing?(5.0%)	3 Organizational Relationships - How effective has he been in establishing and maintaining working relationships with others at same, lower or higher organizational levels? (5.0%)	FACTOR
						0 - 60%
						2 61-70%
						71-80%
						81-90%
						5 over 90
(0,04)	(0.04)	(0.07)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	W/Rate (W)
						Rating (
						REMARKS

	And the second lives of th		and processing the processing of the second	The state of the s			SAL VILLE
	2	ဃ	4		W/Kate	Kaung	KEIVINNO
0 - 60%	61-70%	71-80%	81-90%		(W		
					(0.05)		
					(0.05)		
	ž.	20					
			e	Characteri	stics Affec	ting Perfori	nance or Potential
8 2 H	1	W can .				a H	i San
APPROVED	ВУ			RATE	'S SIGNA	TURE	
				POSITI	ON		10
	1 0-60%	BY 61	1 2 3 0-60% 61-70% 71-80% () () () () () () ()	2 3 70% 71-80% 81-	2 3 4 70% 71-80% 81-90%	2 3 4 70% 71-80% 81-90%	2 3 4 5 70% 71-80% 81-90% over 90) () () () () Characteri RATEI POSITI